# PEGEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PTO/S8/97 (05-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

## Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

April 4, 2006 on Date

> Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP 250 Park Avenue 10th Floor

New York, New York 10177-0030 Tel: 212.986.1116; Fax: 212.986.0604 jcipnani@wolfblock.com

TO: Commissioner For Patents

Fax No.: 571-273-8300

FROM: William H. Dippert

RE: US Patent Application Serial No. 10/049,761

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate

must identify each submitted paper.

Applicant: Clark T. Hung, et al.

Senal No.: 10/049,761 Filing Date: February 7, 2002

For: Bioreactor for Generating Functional Cartilaginous Tissue

Enclosures: Response to Interview Summary (3 pages)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or ratain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form anti/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce. P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VIA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

BEGEIVED GENTRAL FAX GENTER Attorney Docket: COL221.227953

Customer No.: 054042

#### APR 0 4 2006

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Application of:

Clark T. Hung, et al.

Group Art Unit:

1744

Serial No.:

10/049,761

Examiner:

W.H. Beisner

Filed:

February 7, 2002

Confirmation No.: 7596

For:

BIOREACTOR FOR GENERATING FUNCTIONAL

CARTILAGINOUS TISSUE

April 4, 2006

Mail Stop: Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

SIR:

A recently received Interview Summary dated March 6, 2006 summarizes a telephonic interview with the Examiner on March 1, 2006. Since a responsive Amendment was filed March 9, 2006, prior to receipt of the Interview Summary, Applicants comment as follows:

During said telephonic interview, Applicants' representatives argued that the proposed claim language defines over the prior art of Lee or/and Lee and Bader (collectively "Lee et al.") because "functional cartilaginous tissue" is produced by the instant method and device while the tissue of Lee et al. is not "functional cartilaginous tissue" and the loading regime of Lee et al. is different because a different tissue is

NYC:693762.1/col221-227953 April 3, 2006 12:28 PM

Attorney Docket: COL221.227953

Customer No.: 054042

produced. The Examiner was of the position that the tissue and loading regime of Lee et al. meets the instant claim language since it can be implanted. Applicants' representatives responded that "functional cartilaginous tissue" useful for an implant is defined in the present specification, which tissue is not disclosed or suggested by Lee et al.

The Examiner stressed that if the tissue was different, the difference must result from a difference in the loading regime. Applicants' representatives maintained that the use of the terminology "functional cartilaginous tissue" in the claims of this application is sufficient to distinguish Applicants' device and method claims over Lee et al.

Applicants' representatives indicated that to support Applicants' position, they would consider filing - and have filed - a declaration to establish that the instant application is directed to functional cartilaginous tissue, that the tissues produced according to Applicants' invention and the tissue of Lee et al. are structurally different, and that thus the loading regime of the reference of Lee et al. would not meet Applicants' proposed claim language.

Applicants' representatives also indicated during the telephonic interview that they would consider amending the claims - and have so amended the claims - to further define the loading regime to distinguish over the regime of the reference of Lee et al. and to include a control means or device for providing the loading regime commensurate with that of proposed claim 29.

Applicants again thank the Examiner for the courtesy of the telephonic interview mentioned above.

2

Attorney Docket: COL221.227953

Customer No.: 054042

Reconsideration and allowance of all the claims herein are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

April 4, 2006

William H. Dippert
Registration No. 26,723

Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP 250 Lexington Avenue 10<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10177

New York, New York 1017 Telephone: 212.986.1116 Facsimile: 212.985.0604

e-Mail: wdippert@wolfblock.com